
Chapter 2 Figures



EFH Alternative 1 (Status Quo): Maintain current designation (i.e. whole EEZ), based on the following seven habitat composites: Estuarine: Rocky Shelf; Nonrocky Shelf; Canyon; Continental Slope/Basin; Neritic Zone; and , Oceanic Zone.

Figure 2-1: Alternative A.1, EFH No Action




Figure 2-2: Alternative A.2, EFH Depths less than 3,500 m




Figure 2-3: Alternative A.3, EFH 100% HSP Area (updated since Draft EIS)




Figure 2-4: Alternative A.4, EFH HSP Based on Management Status (updated since Draft EIS)




Figure 2-5: Alternative A.5, EFH 70% HSP Area (updated since Draft EIS)




Figure 2-6: Alternative A.6, EFH 30% HSP Area (updated since Draft EIS)




Figure 2-7: Alternative B.2, HAPC Estuaries




Figure 2-8: Alternative B.3, HAPC Canopy Kelp




Figure 2-9: Alternative B.4, HAPC Sea Grass




Figure 2-10: Alternative B.5, HAPC Core Habitat




Figure 2-11: Alternative B.6, HAPC Rocky Reefs




Figure 2-12: Alternative B.7, HAPC Areas of Interest




Figure 2-13: Alternative B.8, HAPC Oil Production Platforms




Figure 2-14: Impact function depicting impacts to habitat at varying levels of habitat sensitivity




Figure 2-15: Alternative C.2.1, depth-based, gear-specific closure, option 1




Figure 2-16. Alternative C.2.2, depth-based, gear-specific closure option 2




Figure 2-17. Alternative C.2.3, depth-based, gear-specific closure, option 3




Figure 2-18. Alternative C.3.1, sensitive habitat closure, option 1 (updated since Draft EIS)




Figure 2-19: Alternative C.3.2, sensitive habitat closure, option 2 (updated since Draft EIS)




Figure 2-20: Alternative C.3.3, sensitive habitat closure, option 3 (updated since Draft EIS)




Figure 2-21: Alternative C.3.4, sensitive habitat closure, option 4 (updated since Draft EIS)




Figure 2-22: Alternative C.4.1, restriction of the expansion of fisheries, option 1




Figure 2-23: Alternative C4.2, restriction of the expansion of fisheries, option 2




Figure 2-24: Alternative C.6, "hotspot" closures




Figure 2-25: Alternative C.7, areas of interest closure




Figure 2-26: Alternative C.8.1 and C.8.2, fishing activity zoning options 1 and 2




Figure 2-27: Alternative C.10, Central California no-trawl zones




Figure 2-28: Alternatives C.12, C.13, and C.14




Figure 2-29: Areas Identified as EFH Under the Council Preferred Alternative. (new since DEIS)




Figure 2-30: Areas Designated as HAPC Under the Council Preferred Alternative. (new since DEIS)




Figure 2-31: Areas Identified as Ecologically Important Areas Under the Council Preferred Alternative – West Coast. (new since DEIS)




Figure 2-32: Areas Identified as Ecologically Important Areas Under the Council Preferred Alternative – Washington. (new since DEIS)




Figure 2-33: Areas Identified as Ecologically Important Areas Under the Council Preferred Alternative – Oregon. (new since DEIS)




Figure 2-34: Areas Identified as Ecologically Important Areas Under the Council Preferred Alternative – Oregon and Northern California. (new since DEIS)




Figure 2-35: Areas Identified as Ecologically Important Areas Under the Council Preferred Alternative –Northern California. (new since DEIS)




Figure 2-36: Areas Identified as Ecologically Important Areas Under the Council Preferred Alternative –Central California. (new since DEIS)




Figure 2-37: Areas Identified as Ecologically Important Areas Under the Council Preferred Alternative –Southern California. (new since DEIS)